

# How to Read and Write Computer Science Papers

Scot Anderson, Ph.D.

September 20, 2009

- 1 Find out what subject matter is covered by the article.
- 2 Identify the purpose of the article.
- 3 Identify the individual parts or components of the article and analyze these parts in the same way as the whole.

# Determine the Subject matter

- First reading of the paper
  - Read the
    - title/abstract
    - introduction
    - conclusions
- Use the first reading to determine the subject matter of the paper and the relevance of the paper to your topic.

- Second Reading of the Paper
  - Read all sections of the paper (including those already read)
    - Skim through proofs or algorithms
    - Read all other area for understanding and comprehension
- Be able to summarize the paper when done with the second reading.
  - Examples
    - Determine whether it is trying to solve a specific problem or problems.
    - Does it provide an exposition of a particular field of computer science?

# Identify Individual Components

- Third Reading of the paper
  - Carefully read the entire paper
    - make sure to understand every step of the proofs, algorithms and computations
- Be able to
  - identify the different components and parts of the content
  - make sure you see how everything ties together

# Deciphering Proofs

- Determine the proof method used by the author
- Read over the proof making sure that you see how the author proceeded from each step to the next
- Try to prove the claim yourself.
  - Usually takes at least three or four attempts before making headway
  - When you are honestly stuck, refer to the article
  - At some point, a new fact will come to light
    - reference to lemma or theorem
    - knowing the point of a lemma or theorem
    - an unusual algebraic manipulation
  - Annotate both your notes and the article as you work through the proof yourself

# Over View of a Paper

- 1 The aim of a paper is to stake out a claim.
  - 1 The writer will state and prove as strong a version of its main theorem as possible.
  - 2 Often slightly weaker theorems are easier to prove
- 2 Emphasis is on novelty.
  - 1 Don't discuss that which is already known (for you that is high school and lower division undergraduate information)
  - 2 Don't give the routine arguments (again these are arguments which you would expect high school students to be able to do)
- 3 Focus is very specific
- 4 A paper contains new ideas

# Definitions of Problem Articles

- 1 An article is **uninformed** if it ignores a known fact that, had it been used by the author, would have improved the text significantly.
- 2 The article is **misinformed** if it uses other material incorrectly or in an inappropriate way, therefore rendering its own claims invalid.
- 3 The article is **illogical** if its arguments are invalid.
- 4 The article is **incomplete** if it leaves important aspects unaddressed



# Things to Avoid

- Too much motivational material - three reasons are enough - one really good reason is best
- Describing the obvious/unnecessary parts of the results
  - "Obvious" is defined as any result that an undergraduate from the SAU CS program would suggest as a solution if you pose the problem to them
  - a detail is unnecessary if its omission will not harm the reader's ability to understand the important novel aspects of the result.
- Spelling errors
- Text in Arial: Arial and other sans-serif fonts are fine for slides and posters, but are harder to read in continuous text. Use Times Roman or similar serif fonts.

# Outline of a Paper

- Abstract - typically not more than 100-150 words. Identify the problem through specific motivation and describe what you solve.
- Introduction
  - Be Brief!
  - Introduce the problem, outline the solution, include a clear statement about why the problem is relevant and how your work differs from other work.
  - This Section is all about capturing interest!
- Related Work - always try to tie it to work done by the organizers of a conference, journal or class
- Implementation - Completely describe the solution
  - What we did: Our solution
  - How our solution works

# Outline of a Paper Continued

## ● Evaluation

- How we tested our solution.
- How our solution performed
- Comparisons to that of other solutions mentioned in related work, how do these results show that our solution is effective
- Context and limitations of our solution (should lead up to summation in next section)

## ● Conclusions and Future Work

- The Problem we solved: the most succinct statement of the problem in the paper, ideally ONE sentence
- Our solution: again succinct.
- Why our solution is valuable
- What will we do next to
  - Improve our solution
  - Apply our solution to a harder or more realistic versions of the problem
  - Apply our technique to a related problem.

## ● Bibliography - follows the conclusions section

See Dr. A's resources online:

- [brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/WritingTechnicalPapersInLaTeX](http://brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/WritingTechnicalPapersInLaTeX)
- [brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/DrAndersonsRulesForWritingPapers](http://brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/DrAndersonsRulesForWritingPapers)

# Acknowledgements

This presentation contains information compiled by Alet Roux, Jonathan Shewchuk, Henning Schulzrinne, and Scot Anderson. You will find the web page links below.

- 1 Alet Roux: [www.math.ucdavis.edu/~relaxtlewis/rfg/jclub/read\\_math.pdf](http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~relaxtlewis/rfg/jclub/read_math.pdf)
- 2 Jonathan Shewchuk:  
[www.cs.cmu.edu/~relaxjrs/sins.html](http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~relaxjrs/sins.html)
- 3 Henning Schulzrinne: [www1.cs.columbia.edu/~relaxhgs/etc/writing-style.html](http://www1.cs.columbia.edu/~relaxhgs/etc/writing-style.html)
- 4 Scot Anderson: [brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/DrAndersonsRulesForWritingPapers](http://brain.scotnpatti.com/computingwiki/DrAndersonsRulesForWritingPapers)